



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/224,637	12/31/1998	YUVAL OFEK	E0295/7080	3449

7590 02/11/2005

MATTHEW B LOWRIE
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS
600 ATLANTIC AVE
BOSTON, MA 02210

EXAMINER

VITAL, PIERRE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2188

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/224,637	OFEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pierre M. Vital	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Pierre M. Vital. (3) Melissa Bibbee.
 (2) Rich Giunta (Reg. No. 36,149). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yanai et al (6,647,474).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Yanai does not teach "automatically establishing a connection through a network" because Yanai uses a point-to-point link. An agreement could not be reached since Yanai also discloses "the use of T1 and T2 based network links as the communication link" and "automatically controls the duplication or copying of data to the secondary storage".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Pierre M. Vital
 Examiner's signature, if required